Town of Timnath Planning Commission
Regular Meeting
Tuesday, February 21, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.
Regular Meeting will be held at the Timnath Administration Building,
4800 Goodman Street, Timnath, Colorado

1. Regular Meeting Call to Order
   a. Roll Call
      Chairperson        Philip Goldstein
      Vice Chairperson   Kristen Seidel
      Commissioner       Donald Risden
      Commissioner       Scott Roys
      Commissioner       Dick Weiderspon
      Alternate          Marty Jost
      Alternate          Don Nohavec

2. Amendments to the Agenda

3. Administrative
   a. Determination of Alternate Voting (if necessary)

4. Public Comments
   a. Public Comment is a time for the Public to address the Planning Commission on
      any item that is not on the agenda as a public hearing.

5. Consent Agenda
   a. Approval of the February 7, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes

6. Business and Discussion Items
   a. Timnath Reservoir Master Plan—Public Hearing

7. Reports (if available)
   a. Commissioner Reports
   b. Town Manager
   c. Town Planner
   d. Town Engineer

8. Adjournment
Town of Timnath Planning Commission
Regular Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, February 7, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.
Regular Meeting was held at the Timnath Administration Building,
4800 Goodman Street, Timnath, Colorado

1. Regular Meeting Call to Order
Chairperson Goldstein called to order the meeting of the Planning Commission on
Tuesday, February 7, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.

Present
Chairperson Philip Goldstein
Vice Chairperson Kristen Seidel
Commissioner Scott Roys
Commissioner Dick Weiderspon
Alternate Marty Jost
Alternate Don Nohavec

Absent
Commissioner Donald Risden

Others in Attendance
Matt Blakely, Community Development Director
Brian Williamson, Town Planner
Kevin Koelbel, Town Planner
Jeff Mark, Landhuis Company
Ray Wright, Timnath Resident
Gloria Weiderspon, Timnath Resident

2. Amendments to the Agenda
None

3. Administrative
   a. Determination of Alternate Voting (if necessary)
      i. Alternate Marty Jost will be voting in the place of Commissioner Donald Risden.

4. Public Comments
   a. Public Comment is a time for the Public to address the Planning Commission on any item
      that is not on the agenda as a public hearing.
      i. None

5. Consent Agenda
   a. Approval of the January 17, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
i. Chairperson Goldstein seeks a motion for approval of the January 17, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes.

ii. Commissioner Roys makes a motion for approval.

iii. Commissioner Weiderspon seconds the motion.

iv. Motion passes unanimously by 5-0 voice vote.

6. Business and Discussion Items
   a. Fisher Rezoning—Public Hearing
      i. Matt Blakely introduces the item as detailed in the staff report.
      ii. Jeff Mark introduces the project. He mentions that there were some concerns with some wetlands at previous meetings and that they will be doing wetland delineation and get approval from the Army Corps of Engineers for improving the wetlands. He also notes that they have been in discussions with the school district and would welcome a school site.
      iii. Chairperson Goldstein opens public comment.
      iv. Ray Wright has a question to where the exact location of the mixed use will be?
      v. Mr. Blakely mentions that there is roughly 23 acres of Residential Mixed Use and it is in the middle of the property across from County Road 42.
      vi. Mr. Wright asks if there will be an entrance on the north and south of the property?
      vii. Mr. Mark states that they will be required to provide two major entrances to the property.
      viii. Mr. Wright asks if the future parkway will tie into this property at all?
      ix. Mr. Blakely states that the future parkway would tie back into Main Street near the southern portion of the property.
      x. Alternate Nohavec asks where on the property the Army Corps would review the wetlands?
      xi. Mr. Mark mentions that they own a property just to the south of the property and they may use that provide a location for the water to flow.
      xii. Alternate Jost asks about the potential about the new Xcel substation and if the property will be impacted by it?
      xiii. Mr. Mark mentions that Xcel has been in contact with them about a property near the Fisher site where it could potentially go and opposed that site, and Xcel moved it over near County Road 76 and County Road 1 in Windsor, but Windsor is opposed to that site as well.
      xiv. Chairperson Goldstein asks if there will be any pushback if the school district would like to put the school site on an area that may not be designated for the school?
      xv. Mr. Mark mentions that the location should not be a problem and that it will be determined by discussions with the district.
      xvi. Mr. Blakely states that in the RMU zoning the school would be within the site far enough that it should be an acceptable location to the district. The district prefers school sites to be interior to a development and not along a major road.
xvii. Vice Chair Seidel asks about the size of the wetlands and what will end up be considered wetlands by the Corps and how that plays into the overall development in regards to density?

xviii. Mr. Mark mentions that currently there is roughly 30 acres.

xix. Mr. Blakely states that wetlands are within the R-2 zoning will play a factor into the overall density and development since they have to use those 30 acres in density calculations.

xx. Vice Chair Seidel asks about the Mixed-Use portion and what would be allowed to be developed on it and if it will have a chance to lose the potential of commercial at a future date like some of the other developments in the past?

xxi. Mr. Blakely states that it will be zoned residential mixed-use which could allow for the school along with a commercial use or a different residential use.

xxii. Vice Chair Seidel asks since the comprehensive plan calls for Mixed Use could the zoning be either residential or commercial mixed use. She also has a concern about the walkability to the south of the proposed Mixed-Use area since the property frontage does not completely go south with the outparcel not being included for development?

xxiii. Mr. Blakely states it could be commercial, but the residential mixed use allows for commercial uses that will be compatible to the low and medium density residential in the adjacent area. There will be the potential of connectivity to the south around the parcel along Main Street.

xxiv. Chairperson Goldstein closes public comment.

xxv. Chairperson Goldstein seeks a motion to approve the Fisher Rezoning.

xxvi. Vice Chairperson Seidel makes a motion to approve.

xxvii. Alternate Jost seconds the motion.

xxviii. Motion passed unanimously by 5-0 voice vote.

7. Reports (if available)
   a. Commissioner Reports
      i. Chairperson mentions that the reservoir open house was a well attended event and was a nice presentation. The fire station open house was a great event.
      ii. Alternate Jost mentions that there have been some complaints about the water tower lights and colors.
   b. Town Manager
      i. None
   c. Town Planner
      i. Mr. Blakely would like to welcome back Commissioner We德erspon.
      ii. Mr. Blakely also mentions that the packet also includes staff reports from other departments that gets issued to Town Council. There will be public hearings on the reservoir master plan will be February 21st and 28th.
   d. Town Engineer
      i. None

8. Adjournment
   a. Chairperson Goldstein seeks a motion to adjourn.
   b. Vice Chairperson Seidel moved to adjourn the meeting.
c. Alternate Jost seconded the motion.
d. Motion passed unanimously by 5-0 voice vote.

Chairperson Goldstein adjourned the February 7, 2017, Planning Commission meeting at 6:33 p.m.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:
As part of the Capital Improvement Plan for the Town, the Timnath Reservoir has been identified as being a priority in the coming years. The Town is currently leasing the surface rights from the Cache La Poudre Reservoir Company and in December extended that lease term an additional 15 years. With the lease terms now solidified and extended, there is a greater sense of security as it pertains to making permanent improvements at the reservoir. In late 2016 Town Staff began the master planning process for the reservoir. That process is outlined below. The master plan will take a broad look at the overall reservoir and a closer look at some of the more developed/developing areas. The master planning process is a great opportunity for the community to get involved in the programming and design of the park. Staff has held an open house and administered a town-wide, online survey to look at the program elements. The results and feedback are attached to this Staff Report.

Staff has taken the feedback received from the open house and the surveys and has prepared a conceptual master plan. That master plan was presented at an open house on January 31st, that presentation is also attached to this Staff Report. The Master Plan was well received and the discussion mainly focused on the timing of improvements and the policies that would be put in place for the Reservoir as the improvements are made.

Staff is currently working on a tweak to the south shore area, and if that change proves to be an improvement to the plan, staff will present it at the meeting on the 21st, as well as the results of the second survey.

Process:
Staff has followed a similar model set forth in previous master planning efforts with this project. Initially Staff developed a wide-ranging list of program elements for public feedback. They were distributed to the public in two formats, through an Open House and an online survey. The Open House was conducted on October 26th, and was very well attended, with approximately 45 people visiting over a 2 hour window. Site analysis and program boards were presented for feedback and visitors were given paper copies of the same survey that was available online. Individuals who filled out paper surveys were entered into the online database to ensure that all the data was tabulated the same. The online survey was available for 3 weeks following the Open House and was advertised by both postcards and email blasts. The online survey included images to make sure that the online users had the same frame of reference as the individuals at the Open House. The full results of the survey are available at the end of the packet for your review.

At the 2nd public meeting, staff announced a second survey that was focused on two topics, first, what should be the policy for opening the reservoir up to non-residents, and second, what should the priority be for making both shoreline and trail improvements. The results of this second survey will be presented at the Planning Commission meeting on the 21st.
In addition to the public feedback, staff also visited several facilities in the region that offer a wide range of services to explore what other services or amenities could be offered. Staff visited the following locations: Sunrise Day Use Area at Horsetooth Reservoir, South Bay Day Use Area, Carter Lake Day Use Area and Boyd Lake State Park. At all of these locations, Staff explored the area and observed the amenities present and the spatial relationship of those amenities. The sites selected ranged from minimal improvements and a more rustic feel at Carter Lake, to a very developed site on a very large scale at Boyd Lake State Park. At Boyd Lake, we met with the Park Manager, Eric Grey, and were able to discuss the pros and cons of their current facility and their short and long term plans for updates. It was a very informative discussion and Staff plans to engage with Mr. Grey in the future once the Master Plan is further developed.

Fireworks venue:
In addition to developing a master plan for the overall reservoir, Town Staff is also looking for a home for the annual 4th of July fireworks show and entertainment venue. In 2016 the event space was held at Wildwing Park at the northeast corner of the Wildwing Subdivision. The fireworks were launched from the reservoir property on the south side of the south dam (between the dam and Buss Grove). The launch location was ideal from a security and safety standpoint; however it was less than ideal from a viewing perspective. The folks located at the Wildwing entertainment venue were a distance away from the launch location that the fireworks weren’t as impactful as expected. However, The Wildwing entertainment venue was great for the daytime activities and the park-like atmosphere (approximately 8 acres of turf grass). The park easily handled the number of visitors, which at last count was approximately 7,500 people in attendance for the fireworks show, and it easily handled the food trucks and kids zone with ground to spare for throwing a frisbee, playing catch, and large shade tents. The parking for Wildwing was a challenge, but it was ultimately handled by a combination of the onsite gravel parking lot that accommodates approximately 120 vehicles, an on-site overflow lot with approximately 200 vehicles, off-site parking on phase 3 of Wildwing subdivision (currently under construction), and finally on-street parking within Wildwing and along Latham Parkway. A final count on the number of total cars parked was not made, however if on average there are 3-4 (3.5) people per vehicle we would estimate a total of about 2,100 cars were in and around the Wildwing venue.

Given the challenges with the Wildwing park venue related to parking (which is being reduced significantly due to the subdivision construction) and fireworks viewing experience, Staff has developed a plan at the reservoir to address all of the potential issues. The plan includes a fireworks viewing location that is in close proximity (just over 1,000’ away) to the launch site, has sufficient parking (approximately 2,375 vehicles), a daytime event space (approximately 7 acres of turf grass) that can accommodate the stage, kid zone, food trucks, and tents. In addition the plan is safe and efficient to manage. There are two clear, direct points of access to the parking area from Buss Grove, there would be an orderly distribution of vehicles with the parking areas. There is an event staff/emergency vehicle area and separate ingress/egress for emergency vehicles. Depending on the final location the launch area may be separated by irrigation ditches, but either way it would be inaccessible to the general public. There is concern about the launch area and the potential fire danger. In 2016, there was a grass fire that was started by sparks from the fireworks that burned additional grass areas at the reservoir. This area was identified prior to the event and PFA was on scene to manage the fire. It was ultimately contained and suppressed by PFA without damage to structures or injury. Since the proposed plan is bringing the spectators in closer proximity to the launch site additional measures will need to be in place to prevent another brush fire. Those measures include a larger pre-burn area, watering of the launch site and surrounding areas leading up to the event, and locating the launch site within an area bounded by irrigation ditches. Any and all of the options will be
evaluated as the detailed plan develops along with any other guidance Council and the Planning Commission may wish to provide to Staff.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAFF RECOMMENDATION:</th>
<th>Staff recommends approval of the Timnath Reservoir Master Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ADVANTAGES:**
- Provides clarity for a phased improvement approach to the Timanth Reservoir
- Provides for a more user friendly area at the Reservoir
- Provides for a permanent Fireworks venue
- Gives new amenities to the Reservoir Area

**DISADVANTAGES:**
- Maintenance and upkeep of the active areas

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**
- This is a budgeted item, however initial estimates for the improvements exceed the current budget for this line item. Staff is currently looking at opportunities to reduce the costs of the improvements and allocate funds from other line items that may come in under budget.

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:**
- I move to recommend approval of the Timnath Reservoir Master Plan to Town Council.

**ATTACHMENTS:**
1. Timnath Reservoir Overall Master Plan
2. South Shore Detail
3. Master Plan Presentation
4. Survey Results
Timnath Reservoir
Master Plan
Timnath Reservoir
Master Plan Process

Site Inventory and Analysis

- Design team site visit to explore entire property that was accessible.
- Review of the available survey data from surrounding projects.
- Review of a detailed aerial photo for areas that were not accessible at the site visit.
Master Plan Process

Programing Effort

• Conduct Public Open House
  • Well Attended approximately 45 visitors over a 3 hour period.
  • Exhibited program elements to gauge interest from the public
• Conducted Online Survey
  • 190 participants completed the survey.
  • Survey content was directly related to the Open House Exhibits
• Program was determined based on the Survey Results and discussion with Town Staff and Town Council
Survey Results

Design Direction Response
- 1 (Native) to 5 (Developed)
- Average response is 2.3
- Most popular response 1 (38%)
Survey Results

Landscape Direction Response

- 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
- Native Prairie Plantings (3.93)
- Traditional Park Turf (2.83)
Survey Results

Shelter Types Response

• 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
• Restrooms (3.77)
• Individual Picnic Shelters (2.83)
• Group Picnic Shelters (2.49)
• Outdoor Showers (1.93)
Survey Results

Amenities Response

• 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
• Benches and Boulder Seating (3.04)
• Drinking Fountains (2.89)
• Grills (2.39)
• Bike Tools (1.83)
Survey Results

Trail Types Response

• 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
• Soft Trails (3.95)
• Interpretive Trails (2.88)
• Paved Trails (2.55)
Survey Results

Water Based Activities and Uses Response

1 (not important) to 5 (more important)

- Non Motorized Paddle Sports (4.09)
- Shoreline Fishing (3.72)
- Boat Fishing (3.57)
- Birding (3.35)
- Swim Beach (3.35)
- Sailing (2.92)
- Water Skiing (2.38)
Survey Results

Shore Based Activities and Uses Response

- 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
- Horseshoes (2.47)
- Sand Volleyball (2.37)
- Dog Park (2.33)
- Frisbee Golf (2.26)
Survey Results

Organized Sports Activities Response

- 1 (not important) to 5 (more important)
- Open Space Fields (3.47)
- Fields with no lights (2.41)
- All other responses under 2
  - Fields with lights
  - Tennis / Pickleball
  - Basketball
Master Plan Process

Site Visits

The design team visited three locations in the region to evaluate program elements and site design.

- Horsetooth Reservoir
  - Sunrise Day Use Area
  - South Bay Day Use Area
- Carter Lake Day Use Area
- Boyd Lake State Park
Timnath Reservoir Master Plan
Timnath Reservoir Master Plan
South Shore Detail
Next Steps

Second Survey
Public Input on Access Policy for Non Residents
Prioritization of Amenities and Trail Improvements

Adoption of Master Plan by Planning Commission and Town Council

Phase 1 Design and Improvements
Q1. Are you a resident of Timnath?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Yes</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>92.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean : 1.077
Confidence Interval @ 95% : [1.040 - 1.113]
Standard Deviation : 0.267
Standard Error : 0.018
Q2. How long have you been a Timnath resident?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1 years</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 years</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>55.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10 years</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years or more</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.096
Confidence Interval @ 95%: [1.973 - 2.219]
Standard Deviation: 0.882
Standard Error: 0.063
Q3. Which subdivision of Timnath do you reside in?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Serratoga Falls</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wildwing</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>52.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Harmony</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. West Village</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Timnath Ranch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Summerfield Estates</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Timnath South</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The Preserve</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Fairview Villages</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Old Town</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4. Were you aware that the Timnath Reservoir is only accessible to Timnath Residents?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>86.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 1.130
Confidence Interval @ 95%: [1.084 - 1.176]
Standard Deviation: 0.338
Standard Error: 0.023
**Q5.** If charged a fee, to what degree would you approve of opening Timnath Reservoir to use by non-Timnath residents in a limited capacity (shore-use only)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Strongly approve</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somewhat approve</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No opinion</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Somewhat disapprove</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>50.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.916
Confidence Interval @ 95%: [3.728 - 4.104]
Standard Deviation: 1.363
Standard Error: 0.096
Q6. If a shore-use pass for non-Timnath residents is approved, how much should it cost?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. $10-$30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. $30-$50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. $50-$70</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. $70-$90</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Other</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.443
Confidence Interval @ 95%: [3.212 - 3.673]
Standard Deviation: 1.592
Standard Error: 0.118
Q7 Design Direction. Please indicate what design direction you would like to see at the reservoir on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 being more native setting (passive, minimal impact, few structures, soft access pathways, naturalistic landscape/meadow/prairie), 5 being more of a developed setting (larger impact footprint, increased water use, sports complex, lighting, active and sports oriented), and 3 being more of a blended setting (increased impact, medium, medium water use, some structures, soft and hard access pathways, some sporting facilities, no lighting).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>37.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>30.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.328  
Confidence Interval @ 95%: [2.154 - 2.502]  
Standard Deviation: 1.258  
Standard Error: 0.089
Q8 Landscaping. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Native Prairie Planting/Grasses</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Traditional Park Setting/Turf/General Areas</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.379</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q9 Site Structures. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Group Picnic Shelter</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Individual Picnic Shelter</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>2.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sail Shade Shelter</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Outdoor Showers</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Restrooms</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.600</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q10 Site Furnishings. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Benches</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>3.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Boulder Seating</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>3.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Bike Tools</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>1.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Grills</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>2.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Drinking Fountains</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q11 Pathways. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Interpretive Trails</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Paved Trails</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>2.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Soft Trails</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>3.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.127</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q12 Special Use Areas. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. BMX Track</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bike Park</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cyclocross Track</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Birding</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Swimming Beach</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Shoreline Fishing</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>3.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Boat Fishing</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>3.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sailing</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Water Skiing (Motorized Boating)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.746</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q13 **Low Impact Activities.** Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Frisbee Golf</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bocce Ball</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sand Volleyball</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Horseshoes</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>2.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dog Park</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2.247</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q14 Traditional Sports. Please indicate for the following series of questions on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 being not important and 5 being very important), your preference of how important each of the elements/activities are for you at the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Open Space Fields</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>3.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fields with no Lighting</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>2.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Fields with Lighting</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Multi-Sport Fields</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Multi-Sport Courts</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Tennis Courts</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Pickle Ball</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Basketball</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>